

[1st April 1930]

*Compensation to losses incurred by the motor accident at Madukarai.*

\* 1742 Q.—MR. BASHEER AHMED SAYEED: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether the management of one of the services involved in the bus accident at Madukarai on 14th February 1930 has suffered serious loss and damage and whether the party concerned has claimed compensation from the Government for the same; and

(b) what action the Government propose to take to prevent the Government being involved in civil liability to the party concerned by the action of the local police and to restore the efficient means of transport for the convenience of the public?

A.—(a) & (b) The Government have no information. A report will be called for.

MR. K. UPPI SAHIB:—"The Government say that they would call for a report. Is it not time for the Government to call for the report?"

The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"That supplementary question does not arise."

MR. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO:—"May I know why the report was not called for earlier?"

*Alleged representation regarding the stopping of the United Motor Bus Service.*

\* 1743 Q.—MR. BASHEER AHMED SAYEED: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have received copies of the resolutions passed at the public meeting of the citizens of Coimbatore, held under the auspices of the Civic and Social Workers' Association, Coimbatore, regarding the arbitrary and unauthorized action of the local police officials in stopping the United Motor Services Bus service on the line between Coimbatore and Palghat;

(b) if so, whether the Government have investigated into the matter and ascertained the truth or otherwise of the allegations made in connexion therewith; and

(c) what action they propose to take in the matter?

A.—(a), (b) & (c) No. A report will be called for.

**Police***Selection of Sub-Inspectors for 1929-30.*

\* 1744 Q.—DIWAN BAHADUR P. C. ETHIRAJULU NAYUDU: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) how many candidates have been selected for Sub-Inspectors' training for the year 1929-30;

(b) how many of them are Brahmans;

(c) whether the principle underlying the communal Government Order has been observed in the above selection and, if not, why not;

(d) what are the districts in which the Government Order was violated and why;



1st April 1930]

(e) what are the districts in which the Superintendents of Police are Brahmans; and

(f) how many Brahman candidates have been selected from those districts?

A.—(a) 86.

(b) 24.

(c) If the hon. Member has in view the general communal principle fixing the order in which candidates from each community should be appointed, the hon. Member is informed that that principle has not yet been applied to the Madras Police Subordinate Service and that special rules for this service embodying that principle are under consideration. The only communal Government Order applying at present to the service is G.O. No. 613, Public, dated 16th September 1921, extending to all departments of Government the principle in Board's Standing Order No. 128 (2) that no particular community or communities should be allowed to preponderate in the public service. The hon. Member will see that this principle has been observed in the selections for 1929-30 so far as the Presidency as a whole is concerned.

(d) The Government have no information.

(e) Anantapur, South Madura, Nellore, Chingleput, South Arcot and Ramnad.

(f) The Government have no information.

Diwan Bahadur P. C. ETHIRAJULU NAYUDU:—"May I ask when the hon. the Home Member is going to apply the communal Government Order to the Police Services?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir MAHOMED USMAN SAHIB Bahadur:—"As it is stated in the answer, the question is under consideration."

Diwan Bahadur P. C. ETHIRAJULU NAYUDU:—"May I know when he is likely to finish his consideration?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir MAHOMED USMAN SAHIB Bahadur:—"I cannot say."

Diwan Bahadur P. C. ETHIRAJULU NAYUDU:—"Will the hon. Member call for the information asked for in clauses (d) to (f)?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir MAHOMED USMAN SAHIB Bahadur:—"Yes, Sir."

### Irrigation

#### *Improvement to the Periyar system.*

\* 1745 Q.—Mr. K. P. V. S. MUHAMMAD MEERA RAVUTTAR: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to lay on the Council table a statement showing—

(a) the various improvements to the Periyar system of irrigation between 1915-28;

(b) the cost incurred thereon;